

MHHS Migration and Cutover Advisory Group (MCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 05/06/24

Meeting number	MCAG 004	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	04 June 2024 1200-1400	Classification	Public

Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
Summary and Next Steps	MCAG04-01	Cancel the meeting 25 June due to low volume of meeting content.	Programme (PMO)	05/06/24	RECOMMEND CLOSED: June MCAG has been cancelled.
Summary and Next Steps	MCAG04-02	Reschedule the July MCAG from 23 July to 25 July to align with the MCC Consultation Framework Approval.	Programme (PMO)	05/06/24	RECOMMEND CLOSED: July MCAG has been moved to 25 July.
Previous Actions	MCAG02-01	Chair to speak to the testing team about the relevant performance test to be conducted by LDSOs with respect to R677	Programme (Warren Fulton)	04/06/24	ONGOING: This action is part of PIT guidance, LDSOs need to take the relevant performance testing as part of their PIT.
	MCAG03-01	MCAG to agree how the MCC Framework artefacts are designated, as the migration plan as per the BSC Section C12.11.3a.	Programme (Warren Fulton)	04/06/24	ONGOING: Seek to clarify when we get nearer to baselining the Migration Framework and bring in relevant text so it aligns with BSC.

Decisions

Area	Decision Ref	Description
Headline Report and Actions	MCAG-DEC09	Approval of Headline report from 08 May 2024
M10/M11 Cutover Plan Approval	MCAG-DEC10	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the SRO, approved the M10/M11 cutover plan v0.4 for publication as v1.0.
Updated Data Cleanse Plan Approval	MCAG-DEC11	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the SRO, approved the Data Cleanse Plan v2.1 for publication
Programme Milestones Related to MCAG	MCAG-DEC12	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the SRO, approved the date change for milestone T3-MI-0032 and T3-MI-0500

Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
<p>Headline and Actions</p>	<p>DECISION: Approval of Headline report from 08 May 2024 (MCAG-DEC09).</p> <p>MCAG02-01: ONGOING: This action is part of PIT guidance, LDSOs need to take the relevant performance testing as part of their PIT. MCAG noted there was a LDSO meeting on 6/04/24 where further consideration of this will be held.</p> <p>MCAG03-01: ONGOING: Seek to clarify when we get nearer to baselining the Migration Framework and bring in relevant text, so it aligns with BSC.</p>
<p>M10/M11 Cutover Plan Approval</p>	<p>Programme provided a summary of the M10/M11 Cutover Plan's consultation and update.</p> <p>The Programme provided an overview of the key response themes and clarifications made to the plan.</p> <p>The Programme highlighted one specific clarification that was added (depicted on slide 11); the Tier 3 milestone is dotted line to reference a point in time Central Services are live and codes designated. This has been put in for clarity for the Monday where the Programme expects everything to be in place, so the milestone M10 (and M13) can be approved by PSG on 12 March 2025.</p> <p>The RECCo Representative clarified that the T3 milestone will need to be at a designated time (Sunday-midnight) as some changes need to be introduced in a 'big bang' approach.</p> <p>The Programme provided further timeline information. The Programme also provided information on the Communications approach Cutover period and guidance declarations for Programme Participants (PPs) to make.</p> <p>The Programme highlighted the additional feedback received and highlighted the wording changes.</p> <p>The DCC Representative asked if the DCC has a role to play in the Cutover weekend. The Programme responded that there is no requirement for DCC, M10 is a technical cutover, so no business process are exercised until M11.</p> <p>The Large Supplier Representative raised that in a Cutover meeting the Service Design was outside scope of this and when PPs may have the understanding of the Service Design from Elexon's point of view. The Programme replied that Elexon is in the process of organising an extra TORWG which is likely to be scheduled the first week of July.</p> <p>The MCAG unanimously supported the approval of the M10/M11 cutover plan v0.4 for publication.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the SRO, approved the M10/M11 cutover plan v0.4 for publication as v1.0 (MCAG-DEC10 – recording timestamp 25:24).</p> <p>Further detail of service design will be managed in the TORWG, and it was noted there will be no changes without MCAG approval. The Programme are looking to publish V1.0 on 05 June.</p>
<p>Updated Data Cleanse Plan Approval</p>	<p>The Programme provided updates on the overview of the Data Cleanse Plan.</p> <p>The RECCo Representative asked if the updated DPI into the plan is EES referenced as well. The Programme confirmed this.</p>

	<p>The MCAG unanimously supported the approval of the Data Cleanse Plan v2.1 for publication.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the SRO, approved the Data Cleanse Plan v2.1 for publication (MCAG-DEC11 – recording timestamp 30:34).</p> <p>The Programme are looking to publish v2.1 on 05 June.</p> <p>The Large Supplier Representative raised that in the last DCWG there were some changes to timelines for data cleanse updates from LDSOs and the risk associated with that. The later Suppliers receive this information, the less time they have to update their portfolio(s). The Chair replied that this is already logged as a risk on the risk register. The Programme added that this was also raised as an action at the DCWG meeting. The Programme are working with LDSOs and will issue communications once the next steps are identified.</p>
<p>Migration Framework</p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on Migration Framework.</p> <p>The Large Supplier Representative queried that constituents raised a discussion as the last framework meeting around incentivisation of Suppliers to undertake timely migration, and that there were no specific incentivisation for Central Parties and Agents which can affect Suppliers’ timelines. The Programme replied that they are working on incentives, noting that there are regulatory routes for Suppliers as they have BSC obligations and the other Central Parties will have their obligations too.. The Agents are more difficult to incentivise as the regulatory obligations are on the Supplier. The Programme will continue to assess the Agent readiness and monitor/manage the risk. There is a request for information on Customer Owned Agents and that information from Suppliers will be continually processed then fed back into MCAG.</p>
<p>Programme Milestones Related to MCAG</p>	<p>The Programme provided the milestones requiring approval for a date change. Milestones T3-MI-0032 and T3-MI-0500 are being re-baselined in the programme plan, there are no major consequences as a result.</p> <p>The MCAG unanimously supported the proposed milestone date changes.</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the SRO, approved the date change for milestone T3-MI-0032 and T3-MI-0500 (MCAG-DEC12 – recording timestamp 41:56).</p>
<p>Top Programme Risks Related to MCAG</p>	<p>The Large Supplier Representative raised that there is an outstanding issue on R488 in terms of export community regarding the scale and processes to migrate that community. The Programme replied that there is subsequent work being completed with Ofgem (since the MWG meeting) to clarify the expectation on export MPANs. Ofgem will clarify the expectation on export MPANs and they will finalise that position, there is no timeline yet. This aligns with the position communicated at MWG. The current view is that new export MPANs will be created for BAU reason, there is not an expectation to bulk export MPANs during Migration, this will be done post Migration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Chair queried if the migration of all MPANs (import/export) are covered in Migration timescales. The Programme replied that there are potentially 700,000 new export MPANs that need to be created if done during a Migration period. The Chair clarified that any existing MPANs will have to be migrated. The Programme responded that this is correct and will be completed throughout the BAU process.
<p>Summary and Next Steps</p>	<p>ACTION: Cancel the meeting 25 June due to low volume of meeting content (ACTION MCAG04-01)</p>

ACTION: Reschedule the July MCAG from 23 July to 25 July to align with the MCC Consultation Framework Consultation 2 outputs approval (**MCAG04-02**).

Date of next MCAG: 25 July 2024

Attendees

Chair

Justin Andrews Chair

Industry Representatives

Alexander Ashbrook (AA) DCC Representative
Murshid Mohamed (MM) DNO Representative
Andrew Green (AG) I&C Supplier Representative
Chris Butterfield (CB) Large Supplier Representative
Jonny Moore (JM) RECCo Representative
Morven Hunter (MH) iDNO Representative
Simon Harrison (SH) Supplier Agent Representative (Independent)

Apologies

David Yeoman (DY) DNO Representative
Andrew Dudkowsky (AD) National Grid ESO Representative
Gareth Evans (GE) I&C Supplier Representative
Sean Doughty (SD) Elexon Representative

MHHS IM Members

Matthew Breen (MB) Migration Analyst
Navdeep Seira (NS) PMO Governance Support
Warren Fulton (WF) MHHS Client Delivery Advisor
John Wiggins (JW) Migration Lead

Other Members

Matthew Fradgley (MF) DCC
Taylor Thorpe (TT) IPA

